1 2 3 4 5 6	KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP Jane Kim (#298192) (jkim@kbkllp.com) David A. Taylor (#247433) (dtaylor@kbkllp.com) Thomas B. Rupp (#278041) (trupp@kbkllp.com) 650 California Street, Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 94108 Tel: 415 496 6723 Fax: 650 636 9251	
7	Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors	
8 9 10	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In re: PG&E CORPORATION, - and - PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, Debtors. □ Affects PG&E Corporation □ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company ☑ Affects both Debtors * All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).	Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM) Chapter 11 (Lead Case) (Jointly Administered) REORGANIZED DEBTORS' ONE HUNDRED SIXTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LEGAL LIABILITY CLAIMS) Response Deadline: October 5, 2021, 4:00 p.m. (PT) Hearing Information If Timely Response Made: Date: October 19, 2021 Time: 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time) Place: (Tele/Videoconference Appearances Only) United States Bankruptcy Court
22 23 24 25 26 27 28		Courtroom 17, 16th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11224 Filed: 09/09/21 Entered: 09/09/21 17:56:44 Page 1 of 7

TO: (A) THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE; (B) THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE; (C) THE AFFECTED CLAIMANTS; AND (D) OTHER PARTIES ENTITLED TO NOTICE:

PG&E Corporation ("PG&E Corp.") and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the "Utility"), as debtors and reorganized debtors (collectively, "PG&E" or the "Debtors" or as reorganized pursuant to the Plan (as defined below), the "Reorganized Debtors") in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases") hereby submit this One Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection (the "Objection") to the Proofs of Claim (as defined below) identified in the column headed "Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged" on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto.

I. JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.); and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Bankruptcy Local Rules"). This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief requested are section 502 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules").

II. BACKGROUND

On January 29, 2019 (the "**Petition Date**"), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Prior to the Effective Date (as defined below), the Debtors continued to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner was appointed in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors' businesses and capital structure is set forth in the *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket No. 263].

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11224 Filed: 09/09/21 Entered: 09/09/21 17:56:44 Page 2

1 On July 1, 2019, the Court entered the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b)(9) and 105(a), 2 Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 3003(c)(3), 5005, and 9007, and L.B.R. 3003-1 (I) Establishing Deadline for 3 Filing Proofs of Claim, (II) Establishing the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, and (III) Approving Procedures for Providing Notice of Bar Date and Other Information to All Creditors and Potential 4 Creditors [Docket No. 2806] (the "Bar Date Order"). The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file all 5 6 proofs of claim (each, a "Proof of Claim") in respect of any prepetition claim (as defined in section 7 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code), including all claims of Fire Claimants (as defined therein), Wildfire 8 Subrogation Claimants (as defined therein), Governmental Units (as defined in section 101(27) of the 9 Bankruptcy Code), and Customers, and for the avoidance of doubt, including all secured claims and priority claims, against either of the Debtors as October 21, 2019, at 5:00 p.m. Pacific Time (the "Bar 10 11 The Bar Date later was extended solely with respect to unfiled, non-governmental Fire Claimants to December 31, 2019 [Docket No. 4672]¹; and subsequently with respect to certain claimants 12 13 that purchased or acquired the Debtors' publicly held debt and equity securities and may have claims

against the Debtors for rescission or damages to April 16, 2020 [Docket No. 5943].

By Order dated June 20, 2020 [Docket No. 8053], the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the *Debtors'* and Shareholder Proponents' Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated June 19, 2020 (as may be further modified, amended or supplemented from time to time, and together with any exhibits or schedules thereto, the "Plan"). The Effective Date of the Plan occurred on July 1, 2020 (the "Effective Date"). See Dkt. No. 8252.

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

The Reorganized Debtors file this Objection, pursuant to section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(5), Bankruptcy Local Rule 3007-1, and the *Order Approving (A) Procedures for Filing Omnibus Objections to Claims and (B) the Form and Manner of the Notice of Omnibus Objections*, dated June 30, 2020 [Docket No. 8228] (the "Omnibus Objections Procedures Order"), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging or reducing Proofs of Claim for which the Reorganized Debtors are not liable (the "No Legal Liability Claims").

28

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

se: 19-30088 Doc# 11224 Filed: 09/09/21 Entered: 09/09/21 17:56:44 Page 3

¹ The claims of Fire Claimants will be administered through the Fire Victim Trust and the claims of Wildfire Subrogation Claimants through the Subrogation Wildfire Trust in accordance with the Plan.

The No Legal Liability Claims are identified in the column headed "Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged" in **Exhibit 1**. **Exhibit 1** also specifically identifies in the "Basis for Objection" that the No Legal Liability Claims are classified as "Barred by Previous Settlement Agreement" or "Barred by Court Order", as discussed further below.

IV. ARGUMENT

A. The No Legal Liability Claims Should be Disallowed and Expunged or Reduced

The Omnibus Objections Procedures Order supplemented Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) to permit the Reorganized Debtors to file objections to more than one claim if "[t]he claims seek recovery of amounts for which the Debtors are not liable" or "[t]he claims are objectionable on some other common basis under applicable bankruptcy or non-bankruptcy law" Omnibus Objections Procedures Order, ¶2(C)(iii), (vii). Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e) requires that an omnibus objection must list the claimants alphabetically and by cross-reference to claim numbers. The Reorganized Debtors and their professionals have reviewed each of the No Legal Liability Claims identified on **Exhibit 1** and have determined, on one of the bases below, that each represents a Proof of Claim for which the Reorganized Debtors are not liable.

- a. "Barred by Previous Settlement Agreement." This Proof of Claim (No. 71227) was asserted by Claimant Victor Packing, Inc. and is subject to a valid and enforceable settlement agreement with the Claimant that has been satisfied in full by the Debtors in the ordinary course of business. In connection with the settlement agreement, Victor Packing, Inc. filed a motion to dismiss its complaint with the California Public Utilities Commission, and the complaint has been dismissed without prejudice. Therefore, because the Reorganized Debtors have satisfied the underlying liability for this Claim pursuant to that settlement agreement, the Barred by Previous Settlement Agreement Claim should be disallowed and expunged.
- b. "Barred by Court Order." This Proof of Claim (No. 19986) was asserted by Claimant California Capital Insurance Company ("California Capital") and relates to prepetition litigation against the Debtors and other defendants in the Superior Court of California for the County of Monterey (the "State Court"). California Capital filed a request for dismissal with prejudice of its complaint-in-intervention in the State Court on September 21, 2020, and the dismissal was entered by

se: 19-30088 Doc# 11224 Filed: 09/09/21 Entered: 09/09/21 17:56:44 Page 4

the clerk the same day. Under California law, a dismissal with prejudice is a final judgment on the merits and bars a subsequent action on the same cause. *Gagnon Co., Inc. v. Nevada Desert Inn*, 45 Cal. 2d 448, 455 (1955). The Reorganized Debtors are therefore not liable for this claim, because it has been disposed of pursuant to a voluntary dismissal with prejudice in a court of competent jurisdiction other than the Bankruptcy Court. Therefore, the Barred by Court Order Claim identified on **Exhibit 1** should be disallowed and expunged.

Each of the Claimants is listed alphabetically, and the claim number and amount are identified in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e). Furthermore, in accordance with the Omnibus Objections Procedures Order, the Reorganized Debtors have sent individualized notices to the holders of each of the No Legal Liability Claims.

B. The Claimants Bear the Burden of Proof

A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).² Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, however, provides in relevant part that a claim may not be allowed if "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Once the objector raises "facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves," Wright v. Holm (In re Holm), 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991), quoting 3 L. King, Collier on Bankruptcy § 502.02at 502-22 (15th ed. 1991), then "the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence," Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage), 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995) (quoting In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)), aff'd without opinion 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). "[T]he ultimate burden of persuasion is always on the claimant." Holm, 931 F.2d at 623 (quoting

² Upon the Reorganized Debtors' request, the deadline under Section 7.1 of the Plan for the Reorganized Debtors to bring objections to Claims initially was extended through and including June 26, 2021 (except for Claims of the United States, which deadline was extended to March 31, 2021) [Docket No. 9563]. That deadline has been further extended through December 23, 2021, except for Claims of the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, which deadline was extended to September 30, 2021, without prejudice to the right of the Reorganized Debtors to seek further extensions thereof [Docket No. 10494]. The deadline with respect to Claims of the United States have twice been further extended by stipulation and order [Docket Nos. 10459, 10463, 10983, and 10986].

1
 2
 3

King, Collier on Bankruptcy); see also Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc., 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000); Spencer v. Pugh (In re Pugh), 157 B.R. 898, 901 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1993); In re Fidelity Holding Co., 837 F.2d 696, 698 (5th Cir. 1988).

As set forth above, the No Legal Liability Claims assert amounts for which the Reorganized Debtors are not liable and, therefore, should be disallowed and expunged in their entirety. If any Claimant believes that a No Legal Liability Claim is valid, it must present affirmative evidence demonstrating the validity of that claim.

V. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The Reorganized Debtors hereby reserve the right to object, as applicable, in the future to any of the Proofs of Claim listed in this Objection on any ground, and to amend, modify, or supplement this Objection to the extent an objection to a claim is not granted, and to file other objections to any proofs of claims filed in these cases, including, without limitation, objections as to the amounts asserted therein, or any other claims (filed or not) against the Debtors, regardless of whether such claims are subject to this Objection. A separate notice and hearing will be scheduled for any such objections. Should the grounds of objection specified herein be overruled, wholly or in part, the Reorganized Debtors reserve the right to object to the No Legal Liability Claims on any other grounds that the Reorganized Debtors may discover or deem appropriate.

VI. NOTICE

Notice of this Objection will be provided to (i) holders of the No Legal Liability Claims; (ii) the Office of the U.S. Trustee for Region 17 (Attn: Andrew R. Vara, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.); (iii) all counsel and parties receiving electronic notice through the Court's electronic case filing system; and (iv) those persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Reorganized Debtors to this or any other Court.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11224 Filed: 09/09/21 Entered: 09/09/21 17:56:44 Page 6

of 7

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting (i) the relief requested herein as a sound exercise of the Reorganized Debtors' business judgment and in the best interests of their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all other parties' interests, and (ii) such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

Dated: September 9, 2021

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

By: <u>/s/ Thomas B. Rupp</u>
Thomas B. Rupp

Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11224 Filed: 09/09/21 Entered: 09/09/21 17:56:44 Page 7

of 7